UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/767,763	01/30/2004	Hidehiko Ogawa	P24508	5540
7055 GREENBLUM	7590 03/06/200 ⁰ I & BERNSTEIN, P.L.O	EXAMINER		
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE			LEE, TOMMY D	
RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	. DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	03/06/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 03/06/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

gbpatent@gbpatent.com pto@gbpatent.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/767,763	OGAWA, HIDEHIKO			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Thomas D. Lee	2625			
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	-		
WHI - Extended aftended - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory of ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by the reply received by the Office later than three months after the ned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNION FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ron. Deriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communicat BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1)[]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□		This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for al		ers, prosecution as to the merits	is		
,—	closed in accordance with the practice un	•				
Disposi	tion of Claims			•		
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application	ation.				
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are rejected.					
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	o the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the drawing	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	l(d).		
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.		•		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/461,402.					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
	application from the International B	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* ;	See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies not	received.	·		
		•	•			
Attachmer	nt(s)					
· —	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) \Box Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)	•		
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	8) Paper No(s)/Mail Date			
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/1/04,7/6/04,3/4/05,12/12/06</u> .	5)	nformal Patent Application			
		. 0, 🗀 0	- -	•		

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 09/461,402, filed on December 15, 1999.

Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 2625

4. Claims 1-33 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5, 7-11, 13-17, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25, 26, 28, 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37, 38, 40, 41, 43 and 44, respectively, of U.S. Patent No. 7,095,524 (Ogawa). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because all but one feature of the claims in the application read on the limitations of the patent, and the one feature not recited in the patent claims would have been an obvious modification to one of ordinary skill in the art.

For example, claim 1 of the application reads as follows:

An image data communication apparatus connected to an image data source and to a network, and transmitting image data attached to an e-mail to a receiving apparatus via the network, the e-mail including a mail from command and a mail message, the image data communication apparatus comprising:

a memory configured to store a default mail address;

a panel section configured to input a mail address of a user into the image data communication apparatus; and

a controller configured to set the default mail address into the mail message of the e-mail to which the image data is attached when the mail address of the user is not input by the panel section;

the controller being configured to set the mail address of the user, input by the panel section, into the mail message of the e-mail to which the image data is attached when the mail address of the user is input by the panel section, whereby the mail

Art Unit: 2625

address of the user set into the mail message of the e-mail is utilized as a destination of a reply to the e-mail, the reply being sent from the receiving apparatus.

Claim 1 of the patent, by comparison, reads as follows (common language in boldface):

An image data communication apparatus connected to an image data source and to a network, and transmitting image data attached to an e-mail to a receiving apparatus via the network, the e-mail including a mail from command and a mail message, the image data attached to the e-mail as an openable document, the image data communication apparatus comprising:

a memory configured to store default information, information regarding an identification of at least one user of the image communication apparatus, a default email address, and an e-mail address of the at least one user;

a panel configured to select the information regarding an identification of at least one user and the e-mail address of the at least one user other than the default information and other than the default e-mail address stored in the memory; and

a controller configured to set the default information and the default e-mail address into the mail message of the e-mail to which the image data is attached when the information regarding the identification of the user and the e-mail address of the user are not selected by the panel;

the controller being configured to set the information regarding the identification of the user and the e-mail address of the user selected by the panel into the mail message of the e-mail to which the image data is attached when the

Art Unit: 2625

information regarding the identification of the user and the e-mail address of the user are selected by the panel, whereby opening, at the receiving apparatus, of the attached image data is not required to determine the information regarding the identification of the user, and the e-mail address set into the mail message of the e-mail can be utilized as a destination of a reply to the e-mail, the reply being sent from the receiving apparatus.

The only feature of application claim 1 not recited in patent claim 1 is the panel section in the application configured to input information regarding an identification of a user to the image data communication apparatus, as opposed to the panel section in the patent configured to select information regarding the identification of the user in a memory, which stores user identification information. It is well known in the art that a keypad is usable for both entering user information as well as for selecting user information previously stored in a memory. For example, it is well known in facsimile communications to store frequently-dialed fax numbers in a memory for one-touch dialing on a keypad, and using the same keypad for regular dialing. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the panel section in the patent may be modified so as to enable a user to input identification information not previously stored in the memory in the same manner that a user inputs a destination fax number when the fax number is not stored in a memory for one-touch dialing.

By similar comparison of the remaining claims of the application and patent, it is clear that each of the remaining application claims 2-33 either read on, or would have

Art Unit: 2625

been an obvious modification of, corresponding patent claims 2-5, 7-11, 13-17, 19, 20, 22, 23, 25, 26, 28, 29, 31, 32, 34, 35, 37, 38, 40, 41, 43 and 44.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,742,769 (Lee) in view of U.S. Patent 5,798,845 (Baek).

Regarding claims 1-21, Lee discloses an image data communication apparatus connected to an image data source and to a network, and transmitting an e-mail to a receiving apparatus via the network, the e-mail including a mail from command and a mail message (column 7, lines 26-39), the image data communication apparatus comprising: a panel section configured to input a mail address of a user into the image data communication apparatus (in order to long in, a user enters the e-mail address and password for authentication); and a controller being configured to set the mail address of the user, input by the panel section, into the mail message of the e-mail when the mail address of the user is input by the panel section, whereby the mail address of the user set into the mail message of the e-mail is utilized as a destination of a reply to the e-mail (user's e-mail address copied into "reply-to" field (column 7, lines 36-40), thereby enabling reply to the mail address of the user without requiring input of the mail address of the user at the receiving apparatus), the reply being sent from the receiving

Art Unit: 2625

apparatus (column 7, lines 51-59). The panel comprises a personal computer connected to the image data communication apparatus and displaying an HTML document for inputting the mail address of the user to the image data communication apparatus (PENTIUM®-based personal computer running on a 32-bit operating system such as Windows NT (column 3, lines 23-28)).

Lee does not disclose the transmission of image data attached to the e-mail to the receiving apparatus via the network. However, it is well known in the art that image data may be transmitted as an attachment to an e-mail message. It is common practice to transmit a document or a picture via e-mail be scanning the document or picture and attaching it to the e-mail, and in such a case the attached document is inherently converted into a format for e-mail transmission. By providing for the transmission of scanned image data as an attachment, a greater variety of image data can be transmitted for immediate reception at the receiving apparatus, and thus it would have been obvious to modify the teaching of Lee by providing a scanner for inputting image data so that the image data may be transmitted as an attachment to an e-mail message, as is well known in the art.

Lee does not disclose a memory configured to store a default mail address; or the controller configured to set the default mail address into the mail message of the email to which the image data is attached when the mail address of the user is not input by the panel section. However, it is well known in the art that a memory that stores user identification numbers for the transmission of image data can also store a default number, and that this default number can be attached to image information to be

Art Unit: 2625

transmitted to a receiver when a user identification number is not entered. Baek discloses this limitation (column 7, lines 44-67 (the default number is the automatic dialing number "FF")). In Baek, the default mail address and the mail address are stored in the memory by the user (column 5, line 12 – column 6, line 16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that by providing a default number, a user may be able to transmit image data, either on behalf of himself or herself, or on behalf of the company for which he or she works, and that a person receiving the image data can positively identify the company sending the image data even if a user at the transmitting end fails to enter identification information, and thus it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the teaching of Lee by providing a memory configured to store default information, and a controller configured to set the default information, as disclosed in Baek.

Claims 22-33 are method claims corresponding to above-rejected apparatus claims 1, 5, 6, 10, 11, 15 and 16-21, respectively. The method steps are either disclosed in Lee, or would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art in view of Baek, as set forth above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas D. Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-7436. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30-5:00, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward L. Coles can be reached on (571) 272-7402. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2625

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Thomas D Lee

Primary Examiner Technology Division 2625

tdl February 22, 2007